

Streamlining Commercial Wastewater Treatment Design using Innovative Engineered Solutions with an Integrated Systems Approach

Edward F. Gelsone, M.S., P.E.









- Treatment Basics
- Design Example
- Case Studies
- Q&A



## Perspectives from the restaurant industry...

- For many current and potential restaurant owners onsite treatment is the only choice.
- Depending on the size of the facility, the menu, and the practices in the kitchen, wastewater strength can range between 2 and 10 times higher than residential strength wastewater.
- Why?
  - A lot of organic material goes down the drain.
  - Rarely are there washing machines or showers to help dilute the wastewater
- Many designers and regulators lack experience in high strength wastewater system design.
- With every other challenge that comes with a running a restaurant or other high strength application, improper treatment and discharge design can be avoided.

# **Critical Program Requirements**

#### • According to the EPA in 2005

- ✓ States must consider special characteristics and requirements of commercial, industrial and large residential systems
- ✓ States need to implement technical guidelines for site evaluation, design, construction and operation/maintenance.
- O & M and routine sampling are key elements
- While costly, knowing that these may be requirements for high strength systems may make some entrepreneurs to think twice about opening a restaurant or other high strength application
- This presentation will focus primarily on technical guidelines for designing and reviewing commercially available wastewater treatment systems.

# What happens if you don't understand?

- Owner may be working with untrained engineers or designers to understand what is needed. We are all stakeholders.
- There is a propensity to use soil or residential aerobic system designs and simply add a grease trap or interceptor.
- Systems that are put in are not reliable or sustainable and lead to catastrophic failures and/or repair costs.
- This can lead to financial hardship and even ruin for the people who counted on someone to understand.



# **The Basics**

- Biochemical Oxygen Demand or BOD<sub>5</sub> (BOD)
  - The amount of organic material requires aerobic microorganisms to break down the waste
  - This will be our focus in this presentation
  - We will assume that all other parameters have been accounted for in the design such as grease trap/interceptor requirements; additional screening or settling for high solids; nitrogen removal requirements.
- Three main design components
  - Determining the expected BOD loading in pounds per day
  - Determining the aeration capacity for BOD removal of a pre-engineered system
  - Sludge production and management

# **Basic Ingredients**

- Sugars
- Milk Products
- Fats Oils Grease (FOG)
- Proteins
- Blackwater

\*\*Put these in a tank with just enough water to make it liquid.



#### What does this recipe produce...

- Not Chocolate Cake!
- Wastewater that:
  - Requires 2 10 times more oxygen to treat the wastewater = Increased Cost
  - Creates 2-10 times more sludge Where does this go?
  - May have a Fats, Oils, and Grease component that can make treatment more difficult.





#### How big can the BOD<sub>5</sub> get?

#### Typical Strength of Ingredients

- Sugars 105,000 108,000 mg/L
- Milk Products 104,000 mg/L
- FOG 1800 2000 mg/L
- Proteins 22,000 mg/L
- Blackwater 500 800 mg/L
- Alcohol 21,000 mg/L 35,000 mg/L
- Blood 100,000 mg/L



#### **Perspective?**

- Sugars 105,000 108,000 mg/L
- 44oz Coke \* 1gal/128oz \* 108,000 mg/L \* 8.34 / 1,000,000 = 0.3 lbs/d
- 1 ATU treats 0.6 to 1.6 lbs/d depending on location and temperatures
- Imagine what is dumped down the drain?!?



# **BOD Strength**

- A study performed by Lesikar in 2004 in Texas showed:
  - 75% of wastewater samples from 28 different kinds of restaurants were 1400 mg/L or less with an average of 1000 mg/L.

Type of Restaurants	Number of Systems in Group	Average BOD mg/L		
Fast Food/Burgers	6	974		
Pizza	1	1856		
Chinese	4	1364		
Mexican	9	1254		
American	1	1063		
American Buffet	1	792		
Steakhouse	2	601		
Seafood	3	555		

# **BOD Strength**

- Most restaurants in the study had BOD's in the 800-1000 mg/L range
- Some types of food produced higher BOD's like Mexican and Chinese
- A menu review would be helpful when sizing a system
  - Sauces, sweets, etc.
  - Alcohol service
  - Grease
- Practices in the facility are good to know too
  - Single service versus full plate service
- Sampling of actual facilities
  - Take more than one sample just after busy periods

# **BOD Strength**

- Rely on studies like Lesikar's, menu review, sampling, and experience to make an assumption
- If you don't have the experience there are those that do and you should contact them!

# **Flows**

- Often dictated by regulation and conservative
- You may be able to assume a lower BOD value (10-30%) if the flows dictated are based on higher flow fixtures
- Most restaurants will never reach design flows, especially on a daily basis
- Actual flows are helpful if it is a chain restaurant or if there is a similar restaurant
- If flow equalization is in the plan then average flows taking into account the days and hours of operation can and should be used

# **Designing a Treatment Train**

- It takes more than just a septic tank.
- Grease interceptors/traps
- Flow Equalization and Dosing
- Supply enough oxygen to meet the pounds of BOD<sub>5</sub> requirement as well as to treat excess FOG.
- Can this be done with a larger drainfield?



# Soil treatment

- Soil properties vary
- Some soils cannot handle high BOD<sub>5</sub> loadings period. Silty Sands or Clays are examples.
- IF a soil can treat the wastewater the footprint could be very big and sometimes the land is not there.
- Multiple fields are a good option
- Seasonal facilities may work better
- Very high BOD's and/or high FOG the soil is not a good medium for treatment.



# If soil won't work...then what?

- Add treatment equipment
- Treatment adds air to the wastewater in some fashion to provide the necessary oxygen to treat the wastewater.
- Partial treatment vs. full treatment
- When using treatment proper sizing and a maintenance and monitoring plans are key.

#### **Treatment systems are not magic**

- Cannot size by flow alone
- Fairly complicated process to evaluate a system for treatment from scratch.
- Designers should choose and regulators should require a system with a significant history treating high strength wastewater
- Splitting flows to multiple systems is difficult so choosing systems that make treatment in as few of boxes as possible is best



# It's time to use that algebra you never thought you would use!

Copyright 1997 Randy Glasbergen. www.glasbergen.com



"Algebra class will be important to you later in life because there's going to be a test six weeks from now."

# **BOD Loading**

- ALL designs should characterize both flow and BOD strength to size the system!
- These two numbers together are used to determine the total BOD load expressed in pounds per day.
- This number represents what needs to be treated with oxygen
- Formula for BOD loading is:

Flow (gpd) \* Influent BOD (mg/L) \* 8.34 = lbs/BOD/day 1,000,000

## Example

 An American restaurant with actual peak flow of 1200 gpd and a sampled influent BOD value of 825 mg/L

> <u>1200 gpd \* 825 mg/L \* 8.34</u> = 8.26 lbs of BOD/day 1,000,000

 This value is critical to the evaluation...this is what needs to be treated.

# How do we treat it?

- To treat 1 pound of BOD it takes an average of 1.2 pounds of dissolved oxygen
- To treat 8.26 pounds of BOD we calculate this way:

8.26 lbs of BOD \* 1.2 lbs of  $O_2 = 9.9$  lbs  $O_2/day$ 

- This is the Actual Oxygen Requirement or AOR

   we will talk more about this
- Next step is to determine the aeration capacity of a proposed pre-engineered system



# Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (sCFM)

- Looking for the sCFM of the proposed aeration device.
- Can be determined by the spec sheet or contacting the aeration device manufacturer.
- An actual drawing with dimensions of the proposed unit is also needed to get the depth of the air release point.
  - If the drawing does not specify this then the manufacturer should be consulted.



# **Aeration Capacity**

- Treatment units typically treat with fine bubble or course bubble diffusion and this should also be available through the manufacturer.
- The <u>EFFICIENCY</u> of the aerator is a function of the air release depth and the type of aeration (coarse or fine bubble).



# **Oxygen Transfer Efficiency (OTE)**

- The air release depth and the type of aeration will give you the OTE
  - Course bubble diffusion = max 0.75%/ft
  - Fine bubble diffusion = max 3.0%/ft
- So now you have:
  - Design loading
  - sCFM for the aeration device
  - the release depth from the drawing or manufacturer
  - And the OTE which is standard in the industry and based on the type of aeration as listed above
- With these you can determine the Standard Oxygen Transfer Rate or SOR

# Standard Oxygen Transfer Rate (SOR)

- The SOR is how much oxygen can be transferred into clean water at standard conditions by the aeration device being evaluated
- SOR is measured in pounds per hour or pph
- The calculation is done as follow:

SOR in pph = 1.035 \* sCFM \* OTE \* air release depth

- Taking this by 24 hours in the day will give you the SOR in pounds per day (ppd)
- The SOR can then be used to determine the Actual Oxygen Transfer Rate (AOR) of the aeration device.

# **Back to Actual Oxygen Transfer Rate**

#### • The AOR is = $\alpha$ \*SOR

- α = constant for efficiency of the aerator in sewage versus clean water
- α for fine bubble diffusion is between 0.4 and 0.45
- $\alpha$  for coarse bubble is between 0.5 and 0.6
- The AOR divided by 1.2 = the pounds of BOD that can be removed per day by that device.

## Back to the example....

- American restaurant 1200 gpd producing 8.26 lbs/day of BOD
- Let's put a fictitious 500 gpd suspended aeration system to the test...knowing you will need 3 units to meet the flow requirement.
- A fine bubble diffusion system:
  - The AIR RELEASE DEPTH per the fictitious drawing is 66 inches or 5.5 feet.
  - The aeration system produces 0.49 sCFM at that depth per the aerator manufacturer



# Here comes the algebra...

SOR in pph = 1.035 \* sCFM \* OTE \* air release depth

OTE is a constant for certain types of aerators

Fine bubbles maximum is 3.0%/ft

SOR in PPH = 1.035 \* 0.49 \* 3.0% \* 5.5 ft = .084 pph



SOR in PPD = 0.84 pph \* 24 h/d = 2.02 ppd

## Continued...

AOR =  $\alpha$ SOR

α is a constant....for fine bubble between 0.4 and 0.45

AOR = 0.4 \* 2.02 ppd = 0.81 ppd



# **Removal Capacity**

BOD removal capacity =  $AOR / 1.2 lbs O_2$  per lb of BOD

0.81ppd/1.2 = 0.675 ppd

- This is how much BOD can be removed by a single 500 gpd fine bubble system.
- If we size based on flow alone we would need 3 of these to meet the flow rating
- For three 500 gpd systems the BOD removal capacity is 0.675 ppd X 3 = 2.02 ppd BUT we needed to treat 8.26 lbs at this restaurant.
- We really need 12 units at least. Is this really a practical design approach?

# Sludge management

- Use the same system we just reviewed
- For every pound of BOD coming into the system about 0.6-0.7 lbs of sludge is produced
- Since the aerator was very efficient we will assume 0.7 lbs of sludge is produced per day

8.26 lbs BOD/day \* 0.7 lbs of sludge/lb BOD = 5.78 lbs of sludge/day

# **Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids (MLSS)**

- Since activated sludge systems are completely mixed the sludge is suspended and mixed in the aeration chamber and measured by the MLSS reading in mg/L
- MLSS determines treatment efficiency and also indicates when sludge needs to be wasted
- Need to know the reaction chamber size and for this example system it is 475 gallons/unit
- Assuming we sized on flow alone and used 3 units that is 1425 gallons of sludge storage capacity
- Convert lbs of sludge produced/day to mg/L

5.78 ppd \* 1,000,000 = 486 mg/L of sludge accumulating in the mixed liquor 8.34 \* 1425 gpd

## When to waste sludge

- If the system is producing 486 mg/L of sludge per day in 30 days that would be 14,580 mg/L of sludge in the MLSS.
- Treatment efficiency of activated sludge systems requires the MLSS to be between 3,000 and 5,000 or the sludge will start "bulking" or not settling and leave in the effluent.
- To maintain efficiency the sludge would need to be removed every 6 – 10 days.
- Very costly to pump out and be watched to assure MLSS stays in the right range.
- Even at half the loading the system is not sustainable
- Add more units? Back to practicality.

# What operational challenges can you face that affect treatment performance?

- Multiple uninformed users
- Cleaning products
  - Dish machines
  - Sanitizers
  - Industrial strength cleaners
  - Degreasers
  - Enzymes/Bacterial additives



# **Design Summary**

- Determining an accurate influent BOD loading/day is critical to design
- Determining if a system specified can treat the expected loading in a sustainable way is critical to long term performance
- Using systems proven and designed for high strength wastewater removal is something that can be done but it is not inexpensive
- If the owner of a restaurant is presented with a system that will work and accepts the costs involved and that system is installed correctly and maintained it will last a long time and perform
- If the owner is not prepared to accept the costs of a correct design as a cost of doing business then they should not be allowed to put in a substandard system that will ultimately fail and could damage the environment.
- WE NEED YOUR HELP!!!

## **Residential Treatment**











#### **Quote Request Form**

INFILTRATOR water technologies	Design & Quote Request Form
4 Business Park Road, PO Box 768, Old Saybrook, CT 06475 (800) 221-4436 (860) 577-7000 (860) 577-7001 FAX	Wastewater Collection, Treatment, and Dispersal
Project Information	RUSH REQUEST:
Date: Respond By Date:	Site Dwgs Available Site Pics Available Project Plans and/or Specs Available
Project Name:	Funding: -None
Project Address:	State: Project Zip Code:
Design Status: 🔤% Complete 🔲 Permitted 🗔 Approve	d Deliverable: 🗌 Preliminary Budget 🔲 Final Design Documents 🔲 Quote
Installation Type: 🗌 Buried 📄 Partially Buried 📄 Mounded 📄	At Grade Tank Construction: -None-
Influent Collection: 🗌 Raw 🔲 Grease Trap 🔲 STEP/STEG 🛄 F	Flow EQ Effluent Discharge/Dispersal Type: -None-
Influent Flow: Gravity Pumped; Flow: gpm@	Home Effluent Flow: Gravity Pumped; Flow: gpm@ Home
Influent Pumps: Sewage Grinder Effluent Multista	age Effluent Pumps: Sewage Grinder Effluent Multistage
Dispersal Area Available: Soil Texture:	Perc Rate: -Non Loading Rate: gal/st/day Soil Depth: in.
Wastewater Detail	
Ownership Entity: 🗌 Municipal 🛛 🗌 Residential 🔲 Commercia	I 🗌 Agriculture 🔲 Govt/Military 🛄 Industrial 🔛 Indian Reservation
Sanitary Strength: 🗌 Apartments 🔲 Houses 🛛 🗌 Hotel	Offices Institution School Mobile Homes Recreation (RV)
High Strength: 🔲 Brewery 📄 Food 📃 Dairy	Textile Chemical Pharmaceutical
Winery Beverage Stockyard	Pulp & Paper Mining Other (specify):
Wastewater Data (please provide as much wastewate	er data as possible; sample from an influent flow point)
DATE OF SAMPLE: SAMPLE TYPE: Grab Sample Composite Sample	SPECIFY SAMPLE LOCATION: Raw Influent Settled Influent Data is assumed or projected
Design Flow: GPD GPM	Peak Flow: GPD GPM
PARAMETERS: Influent	Effluent Requirement

...to determine options

## **Good Intel Gathering...**

DATE OF SAMPLE: SAMPLE TYPE:	Grab Sample Composit	te Sample 🗌 Da	PECI ata is	FY SAMPLE L assumed or p	OCATION:	🗌 Raw In	fluent	Settled Influ	ent	
Design Flow:	GPD	GPM		Peak	Flow:		GPD		GPM	
PARAMETERS:		Influent				Effluent Re	quireme	nt		
Biochemical Oxygen	Demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> )				mg/L				mg/L	
Chemical Oxygen Der	mand (COD)				mg/L				mg/L	
Total Suspended Soli	ds (TSS)				mg/L				mg/L	
Total Dissolved Solids	s (TDS)				mg/L				mg/L	
Fats, Oils and Grease	e (FOG)				mg/L				mg/L	
Alkalinity (ALK) as Ca	alcium Carbonate				mg/L				mg/L	
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )					mg/L				mg/L	
Total Kjedhal Nitroger	n (TKN)				mg/L				mg/L	
Total Nitrogen (TN)					mg/L				mg/L	
Total Phosphorus (TP	?)				mg/L				mg/L	
Disinfection: E.Coli	i. 🗌 Fecal Coli. 📃 Total Coli.				N/100mL				N/10	0mL
Dissolved Oxygen (D	0)				mg/L				mg/L	
pH Range	·				-				-	
Minimum Influent Wat	er Temperature	c	legF	Power: 📲	_ Ph <mark>™_</mark>		Hz	Elevation:		ft ASL
Minimum Seasonal Ai	ir Temperature	c	legF	Low Flow	Devices 🗌 (	Garbage dis	posals	RV/Portajor	n Dump	Station
Maximum Seasonal A	ir Temperature	c	legF	Seasonal	flows. Please	e specify:				
Product Inform	nation									
Collection & Dispersa Treatment Products:	I Products: Influent/Effluent Pu	mp Stations 📃 E COPOD ATU 📃	ECOF	ILTER 📃 Infi POD Package	trator Chamb Plant 🛛 E	ers 📃 EZfl xtended Aer	iow 📃 A ration Par	TL 🔲 AES ckage Plant	EC	ODRIP
Notes (Design	Assumptions, Site Con	ditions, Cha	alle	nges, Con	straints,	Other P	ermit I	Requirem	ents,	etc.)
Processes Req'd:	Primary Flow EQ Sludge	Holding 📃 Chlor	r. 🗌	Dechlor.	JV E Flow I	Metering 📃	Filtration	) 🗌 Other (sp	ecify be	low):
Contact Inform	hation How di	id you hear about	Delta	a Treatment Sy	/stems?: -N	one-				-
Name:					E-mail:					
Company:					Phone:					

Quote No.

Fax:

©2020 Infiltrator Water Technologies, LLC

Address

www.infiltratorwater.com

#### **Commercial Treatment: Pilot Travel Center**



- 5,000 Gallon Per Day System
- 1500 mg/L BOD
- 62.6 lbs/d BOD Loading
- 200 mg/L TSS
- Treatment to 100 mg/L BOD or less
- 1,350 Q4 + High Chambers installed in trenches
- 1 15,000 Gallon Containment Solutions 2 Compartment Trash / Flow EQ Tank with Polylok Filters
- 4 6,000 Gallon Concrete Tanks with (4) E500D Ecopods
- 1 6,000 Gallon Pump Tank to pump effluent to gravity feed the chambers



















#### **Commercial Treatment: Oil & Gas Man Camp Package Plant**



- 5,000 Gallon Per Day System
- 350 mg/L BOD Concentration
- 14.6 lbs/d BOD Loading
- 1 E600D Ecopod
- Treatment to 10/10 for spray irrigation
- 1 Compartment 5,285 Trash Tank
- 1 Compartment 2,643 Flow EQ Tank
- 1 Compartment 8,457 Treatment Tank
- 1 Compartment 114 Chlorination Tank
- 1 Compartment 1,585 Pump Tank
- Client ordered three (3) identical units
- Client planning to order twelve (12) more identical units in 2020





















#### In summary... Soil treatment

- Some soils cannot handle high BOD<sub>5</sub> loadings period.
  - Silty Sands or Clays are examples
- IF a soil could treat this waste the footprint could be very large.
- IF soil is used consider multiple fields and leave room for treatment to be added
- Seasonal facilities may work better
- Very high BOD's and/or high FOG the soil is not a good medium for treatment.

#### In summary...

## If soil won't work...then what?

- Add treatment equipment
- Treatment adds air to the wastewater to provide the necessary oxygen to treat the wastewater.
- When using treatment proper sizing and a maintenance and monitoring plans are key.
- Partial treatment vs. full treatment

#### In summary...

#### **Treatment systems are not magic**

- Cannot size by flow alone
- Complicated process to evaluate/design from scratch.
- Use a system with a significant history treating high strength wastewater
- Splitting flows to multiple systems is difficult





## In summary... Designing a treatment train

- More than a septic tank.
- Grease interceptors/traps
- Flow Equalization
- Supply enough O<sub>2</sub> to meet the pounds of BOD<sub>5</sub>
- Can this be done with a larger drainfield?



#### In summary...

#### What some State Agencies are doing to help

- Intensive up front reviews
- Show calculations
- Require significant data from other locations
- Ongoing sampling and maintenance required



#### In summary... What seems to work well

- Engineers or trained designers are typically required
- Regulatory approval of products
  - May run through a piloting/provisional program
  - Must produce significant data to show past success

ONTINUING

DUCATION

- Mandatory maintenance
  - Mandatory sample collection
- Mandatory reporting
- EDUCATION, EDUCATION, EDUCATION

## In summary...

# It isn't easy or cheap!

- Paying a designer is costly
- Putting in a system that will work is costly
- Annual maintenance contracts with at least semi-annual visits are costly
- Sampling wastewater effluent is costly
- Staying educated is costly
- BUT...



#### In summary... What is the cost of doing it wrong?

- Relationships
- Owners
- Designer/Engineers
- State or Local Agency
   personnel
- Installers/Contractors
- Last but not least... Environment!!!



# **Questions?**

#### Presented by:

Edward F. Gelsone, M.S., P.E. Sales Engineer, Southern Region Infiltrator Water Technologies

